

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/465,530	12/16/1999	NOSAKHARE D. OMOIGUI	MS1-362US	8986	
22801	7590 04/22/2004		EXAMINER		
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			YUAN, ALMARI ROMERO		
SPOKANE,		E 300	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2176		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/465,530 OMOIGUI, NOSAKHARE D.		
Turice, y Tromen	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Almari Yuan	2176	,
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 06 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and indication of the application	ation. A proper reply to a	in
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]	•	
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amough the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Office	ite extension e action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplif	ying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE:		,	
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	endment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>	reconsideration has been consi <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	dered but does NOT pla	ace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were ne	wly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:	,		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	G	Tuld	
		EPH FEILD PATENT EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)



Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the art rejections of all claims have been carefully considered but they are not persuasive. Bronson does disclose the claimed invention of seaching the media stream to identify temporal locations that satisfy a certain search criteria; on col. 3, lines 26-29 teaches data from the data index 34 may be searched by the user to determine when a particular word or phrase occurs in the audio-video data stored on the media 12, in other words, allowing the user to determine when a word occurs in an audio-video data stream is the temporal indication, i.e., when the word occurs, is returned to the user. Furthermore, Bronson on col. 2, lines 31-35 teaches "...timing or other position or location data mechanism 20 to continuously indicate the location data unique to the audio-video data being monitored so that individual portions of the data may be identified (searched) for later retrieval and on col. 4, lines 6-12 teaches "timing data may also be provided from the audiovideo data. Therefore, the Examiner maintains the rejections set forth in the Office Action mailed on 4/6/04.